

## 11.6 The Occupiers' Liability Acts 1957 and 1984—at a glance

See Table 11.1.

TABLE 11.1 Summary of the Occupiers' Liability Acts 1957 and 1984

	1957	1984
Type of damage (to claimant)	Property damage and personal injury (as old common law).	Personal injury only (ss 1(1)(a) and 1(4)). Property damage excluded (s 1(8)) but may be covered by common law (Herrington v British Railways Board [1972]).
'Premises'	Wide definition: 'any fixed or moveable structure' including vehicles (s 1(3)).	As 1957 Act (s 1(2)).
'Occupier'	Person who would have been at common law (s 1(2); Wheat v Lacon; Harris v Birkenhead Corporation).	As 1957 Act (s 1(2)(a)).
'Visitor'	All lawful visitors (s 1(2)) including invitees, licensees at common law and contractual visitors (s 5).	All non-visitors (usually trespassers) (s 1(1)(a)).  Also, subject to certain restrictions, includes ramblers (exercising a right under s 2(1) of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000). However, it does not cover people using the highway (s 1(7)) or people using other public rights of way (s 1A).
Duty	Occupier owes 'a common duty of care' to all visitors simply by virtue of the fact that they are visitors (s 2(1)).	Occupier <i>only</i> owes a duty (defined in s 1(4)) if:  (1) aware of danger (or has reasonable grounds to believe it exists); and  (2) knows (or has reasonable grounds to believe) a non-visitor is (or may be) in vicinity of danger; and  (3) the risk is one in all circumstances the occupier may be reasonably expected to protect against (s 1(3)).
Extent of the duty (or standard of care)	A duty to take such care that the visitor is reasonably safe while using the premises for the purposes for which they are invited (s 2(2)).  It is the visitor, not premises, who must be reasonably safe; different standards of care apply for different visitors (s 2(3)).	A duty to 'take such care as is reasonable in all the circumstances of the case to see that the [non-visitor] does not suffer injury on the premises' (s 1(4)).

## TABLE 11.1 Continued Discharging As ordinary principles of breach (see As ordinary principles of breach (see duty (or Chapter 8). Chapter 8). breach) The occupier's duty to ensure May be discharged by taking that the visitor will be reasonably reasonable steps to give: a warning safe while on their premises, not of the danger concerned (s 1(5)) (no obligation to ensure safety of visitors requirement of reasonable safety); (Bowen v National Trust). discouragement to persons from incurring the risk (s 1(5)). However, this does not mean that the visitor cannot be expected to take reasonable care for their own safety (s 2(3)). Standard of care may vary depending on the identity and expertise of the visitor, in particular: (1) the standard of care expected will be higher in relation to children, who are 'less careful than adults' ((s 2(3) (a)); *Jolley*); (2) professional visitors can be expected to 'appreciate and guard against special risks' (s 2(3)(b); Roles v Nathan [1963]). May be discharged by taking reasonable steps to give warnings must enable visitor to be 'reasonably safe' (s 2(4)(a); *Darby*). Generally there will be no liability for harms caused where independent contractors have done faulty work on the premises as long as occupier has acted reasonably in entrusting the work to them (s 2(4)(b)). Limitations Occupier can extend, restrict, No express provision—but probably and

## defences

modify or exclude their duty (so far as they are free to do so) via a notice or contract (s 2(1) (subject to UCTA, s 2)).

No duty in relation to *risks* 'willingly accepted as his' by the visitor (s 2(5)) (Geary v Wetherspoons plc).

Contributory negligence (implied by s 2(3) although not mentioned in the statute used by courts).

as 1957 Act (s 2(1)).

No duty in relation to risks 'willingly accepted as his' by the visitor (s 1(6); Ratcliff v McConnell).

Contributory negligence (not mentioned in the statute but used by courts).