

## Sensitivity analysis of the Penman-Monteith model

The aim of a sensitivity analysis of a model (or equation) is to gain insight into how sensitive the model (or equation) outcome is to changes in the values of the input variables in the model. There are a number of ways to proceed when performing a sensitivity analysis. Whatever specific strategy you may adopt, performing a sensitivity analysis for a model (or equation) is always good practice.

You may perform a sensitivity analysis for one or more of the land use settings - forest, grassland or open water - by independently changing the values of the variables under the heading 'Data' in the Table 2.2 spreadsheet. You may thus change the value of air temperature  $T$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) by, for instance, +5% and then by -5% to examine the effects that these changes have on evaporation ( $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ). You then reset  $T$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) to its initial value and shift your attention to the next variable, relative humidity,  $RH$  (-): change the value of this variable again by +5% and then by -5%, and then, again, examine the effects that these changes have on evaporation ( $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ); and so on. (Please take care that your input values remain within appropriate bounds!)

## Effects of climate change

If you are interested in the effects of climate change on evaporation, or if you are interested in the effects of a land use change for a certain climate setting, a number of variables in the Penman-Monteith model need to be set at relevant values.

In the Table 2.2 spreadsheet, the Penman-Monteith model is set for average climate conditions by using the following set of empirical variables:

$$a_s = 0.25$$

$$b_s = 0.50$$

$$a_e = 0.34$$

$$b_e = -0.14 \text{ kPa}^{-0.5}$$

$$a_c = 0.25$$

$$b_c = 1 - a_c = 0.75$$

The values of these empirical values may be thought off as obtained from experimental studies using lysimeters.

For arid climates, Shuttleworth (1993) recommends to change the values of  $a_c$  and  $b_c$  as follows:

$$a_c = 1.35$$

$$b_c = 1 - a_c = -0.35$$

For humid climates, Shuttleworth (1993) recommends to change the values of  $a_c$  and  $b_c$  as follows:

$$a_c = 1.00$$
$$b_c = 1 - a_c = 0.00$$

For The Netherlands, one may use (Van den Akker en Boomgaard 1996):

$$a_s = 0.20$$
$$b_s = 0.60$$
$$a_e = 0.47$$
$$b_e = -0.067 \text{ kPa}^{-0.5}$$
$$a_c = 0.20$$
$$b_c = 1 - a_c = 0.80$$

Interestingly, for The Netherlands we would expect the air temperature  $T$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) as well as some or all of the variables that are linked to the incoming short wave radiation at the earth's surface  $S_t$  ( $\text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) to be important because these are the variables used in the Makkink equation, a simplified version of the Penman equation used by the Royal Dutch Meteorological Society (KNMI) that is reported to perform well for the Netherlands (Box 2.15).

## References

- Shuttleworth, W.J. (1993). Evaporation. In: Maidment, D.R. (ed.), *Handbook of Hydrology*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Van den Akker en Boomgaard (1996). Hydrologie. Lecture notes, Faculteit der Civiele Techniek, Technische Universiteit Delft, The Netherlands.